



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/410,462	10/01/1999	ANGELICA WILLIAMS	ONYXI046-ORD	6889
37499	7590	01/10/2012		
ONYX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 249 E. Grand Avenue South San Francisco, CA 94080			EXAMINER ANGELL, JON E	
			ART UNIT 1635	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/10/2012	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ANGELICA WILLIAMS, CARLA HEISE,
MEISA PROPST, ADAM SAMPSON-JOHANNES,
TERRY HERMISTON, and DAVID KIRN

Appeal 2011-005161
Application 09/410,462
Technology Center 1600

Before DEMETRA J. MILLS, ERIC GRIMES, and
MELANIE L. MCCOLLUM, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MCCOLLUM, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION ON APPEAL

This is an appeal under 35 U.S.C. § 134 involving claims to a method for killing dividing endothelial cells. The Examiner has rejected claims as anticipated. We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b). We affirm.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Claims 6, 7, 11, 15, 17, and 18 are on appeal (App. Br. 5).¹ Claims 11 and 15 are representative and are set forth in the Claims Appendix to the Appeal Brief (App. Br. 20-21). Claims 6, 7, 17, and 18 are not separately argued and therefore stand or fall with claims 11 and 15. 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(vii).

Claims 6, 7, 11, 15, 17, and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Bischoff et al. (US 6,080,578, Jun. 27, 2000) (Ans. 3). We affirm the rejection for the reasons stated in the Examiner's Answer. In particular, we conclude that the Examiner has set forth a prima facie case of inherent anticipation, which Appellants have not rebutted, again for the reasons stated in the Examiner's Answer.

TIME PERIOD FOR RESPONSE

No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with this appeal may be extended under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

AFFIRMED

cdc

¹ Claims 8-10, 19, 20, 28, and 34 are also pending but have been indicated to be allowable (Final Rej. 4).